

# SupplierLens Report

---

Precision Components Inc • Q2 2024

Generated Dec 9, 2025 3:42 AM

---

## SUPPLIER QUALITY ANALYSIS REPORT

**Supplier:** Precision Components Inc

**Analysis Period:** Q2 2024

**Report Generated:** 2025-12-06 16:32:01

**Analysis ID:** mf-sfn-precisioncomponentsinc-q22024-5c0173db

---

## DATA TRANSPARENCY AND AUDIT TRAIL

**Company Configuration:** - Industry Context: aerospace - Regulatory Standards: ISO 9001:2015, AS9100D - Analysis Methodology: AI-powered analysis with company policy integration

**Data Sources Used:** - NCR Log: Q2 2024 non-conformance reports - CAPA List: Corrective and preventive actions tracking

- Audit Reports: Latest supplier quality system assessments

**Analysis Confidence:** High (sufficient data available for reliable conclusions)

**Business Rules Applied:** - CAPA Closure Timelines: Critical=7d, Major=30d, Minor=90d - Risk Thresholds: High $\geq$ 70, Medium $\geq$ 40 - Quality Grading: A $\geq$ 90, B $\geq$ 80, C $\geq$ 70, D $\geq$ 60

**Note:** All analysis conclusions are based on available data and cite specific sources. Recommendations are analytical insights, not policy decisions.

---

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Precision Components Inc. has demonstrated a conditionally approved quality management system during Q2 2024, with a total of 45 non-conformance reports (NCRs) logged, of which 6 are critical, 15 major, and 24 minor. The company achieved a data completeness of 80% and a confidence level of 90%, indicating high confidence in the accuracy of the reported issues. The audit score was 75/100, reflecting significant gaps in measurement system control and incoming material verification, which pose risks to product conformity.

**Overall Quality Score:** 75/100 (Grade: C)

---

# CRITICAL FINDINGS

## 1. Measurement System Integrity - HIGH

**Issue:** Critical gaps identified in the calibration and measurement system that compromise measurement integrity and traceability.

**Impact:** Potential shipping of nonconforming products and customer complaints.

**Action Required:** Immediate corrective actions to quarantine products measured with out-of-calibration equipment.

## 2. Incoming Material Control - HIGH

**Issue:** Systematic failures in incoming material verification processes resulting in nonconforming material entering production.

**Impact:** \$47,000 in potential scrap and rework costs due to material chemistry deviations.

**Action Required:** Implement immediate 100% certificate of conformance verification.

## 3. Document Control - HIGH

**Issue:** Critical breakdowns in document control system resulting in obsolete procedures being used in production.

**Impact:** Production of parts with incorrect parameters, leading to quality issues.

**Action Required:** Conduct immediate document verification at all workstations.

---

## RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk Category	Level	Details
Overall Risk	HIGH	Risk Score: 85/100
Quality Risk	HIGH	Product quality and safety risks
Process Risk	MEDIUM	Process control and management risks
Compliance Risk	HIGH	Regulatory and audit compliance risks

**Mitigation Priorities:** Measurement System Integrity, Incoming Material Control, Document Control

---

# PERFORMANCE TRENDS

Trend Category	Assessment	Details
Quality Trend	DECLINING	Overall quality performance direction
Efficiency Trend	STABLE	Process efficiency and response times
Risk Prediction	HIGH	Predicted future risk level

**Recommended Monitoring Frequency:** Monthly

---

## ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

**Primary Root Cause:** Inadequate calibration tracking and verification processes.

**Primary Defect Type:** Measurement nonconformance

**CAPA Effectiveness Rate:** 57.1%

**Preventive Focus Area:** Enhancing training and system integration for measurement and document control.

**Systemic Issues Identified:** - Calibration technician position unfilled for 6 months. - No formal process for measurement system analysis. **Improvement Areas:** - Calibration Management - Document Control Processes - Incoming Material Verification

---

## STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS

### 1. Hire a qualified calibration technician or contract calibration services. (IMMEDIATE Priority)

**Category:** Measurement System Recovery

**Timeline:** 30 days

**Owner:** Quality Manager

**Success Criteria:** All critical measuring equipment calibrated and verified.

### 2. Implement an electronic document control system. (HIGH Priority)

**Category:** Document Control Improvement

**Timeline:** 60 days

**Owner:** Quality Manager

**Success Criteria:** Reduction in obsolete documents used in production.

### 3. Develop risk-based sampling plans for incoming materials. (HIGH Priority)

**Category:** Incoming Material Control

**Timeline:** 90 days

**Owner:** Procurement Manager

**Success Criteria:** 100% compliance with incoming material verification.

---

## SUPPLIER DEVELOPMENT PLAN

### Development Focus Areas

- Calibration Management
- Document Control
- Incoming Material Verification

### Capability Gaps Identified

- Inadequate calibration tracking
- Obsolete document usage
- Insufficient incoming material verification processes

### Training Requirements

- Calibration procedures
- Document management systems
- Incoming inspection protocols **Resource Requirements:** Additional quality personnel for calibration and document management.

### Success Metrics

- Reduction in NCRs related to measurement and documentation
- Improved audit scores
- Enhanced supplier performance metrics

---

## MONITORING & OVERSIGHT PLAN

**Monitoring Frequency:** Monthly

**Review Schedule:** Monthly management review meetings.

**Next Audit Date:** September 30, 2024

## Escalation Triggers

- Increase in NCRs
- Failure to meet corrective action timelines

## Key Performance Indicators to Track

- NCR rates
- CAPA effectiveness
- On-time delivery rates

**Reporting Requirements:** Monthly quality performance reports to management.

## QUALITY SCORE BREAKDOWN

Component	Score	Details
Overall Score	75/100	Grade: C
Quality Performance	70	NCR and defect performance
Process Efficiency	75	CAPA and response time performance
Compliance Status	80	Audit and regulatory compliance

**Industry Benchmark:** Industry average for aerospace suppliers is 85.

## APPENDICES

### A. Data Sources

- NCR Log: Q2 2024 non-conformance reports
- CAPA List: Corrective and preventive actions tracking
- Audit Report: Latest supplier quality system audit

### B. Methodology

- Structured data analysis using pandas
- Unstructured document analysis

- AI-powered comprehensive assessment
- Industry best practices

---

*This report is confidential and intended for internal supplier management use only.*